

The Impact of AI Chatbots on Customer Experience and Brand Perception

Chetan Kumar Kommuru¹, Arkarag Chaudhuri²

¹,MBA Student, Alliance University Bangalore, India

²,Assistant Professor Alliance University Bangalore, India

Abstract: The article reviews how the e-commerce field is influenced by Artificial Intelligence (AI) chatbots in terms of customer experience (CX) and brand perception. With AI-based communication tools integrated into the customer service model, it is essential to comprehend how the qualities of a chatbot to be empathetic, responsive, and reliable affect customer trust and customer satisfaction. The research will employment the quantitative, cross-sectional survey research design with the 50 participants that were previously unprotected to the AI chatbots on the key platforms (Amazon and Flipkart).

Keywords: Customer Experience, SERVQUAL, Emotional Intelligence, AI Chatbots, Customer Experience, Brand Perception, Technology Acceptance Model.

I. Introduction

In the digital age, AI has changed the nature of communications among the organizations and customers and has redefined communication and facility delivery. The AI- based chatbots have arisen as one of the most radical technologies in the marketing and e- commerce among numerous applications [1]. The **objectives** of the study are the following:

- To look into the effects of the AI chatbot interactions on the client experience.
- To determine impact of chatbot effectiveness on customer trust and brand perception.
- To determine the obstacles consumers encounter during their interaction with chatbots.
- To recommend strategies for enhancing chatbot communication for better brand image and loyalty.

II. Literature Review

AI Chatbots and Customer Experience Chatbots AI are now an inseparable element of digital marketing and customer engagement strategies and have changed the way companies deal with their consumers. But functional performance is not all that determines customer satisfaction. It is also noted that affective interaction, sympathy, and tone of communication have a substantial influence on chatbot effectiveness perceptions.

Chatbots, Trust, and Privacy of E- Commerce

The success of AI-driven interactions with customers depends on trust as one of its key factors. Customers, in digital settings where people are not always able to interact physically, are dependent on the perceived levels of security and ethical behavior of AI systems. The trust that consumers place in chatbots is based on two key factors, such as data security and transparency [2].

The problem of privacy is especially applicable in e-commerce, where chatbots tend to gather rather sensitive data, including browsing history, tastes, and buying patterns. According to [3], customers do enjoy personalized recommendations but they do not trust the way their information is processed and stored.

Brand Perception and Emotional Relation Brand perception is a general view of the consumer about a company, which is formed through experiences, emotions, and values identified with the company.

III. Theoretical Frameworks Integration

- **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM):** TAM is the model that was established by Davis (1989) to define the process by which users assume and admit technology depending on the two determinants, which are supposed usefulness and supposed ease of use [5].
- **SERVQUAL Model:** SERVQUAL is the quality service model that was established by Parasuraman to control the quality of services based on the 5 sizes, including consistency, receptiveness, assurance or empathy, and perceptibility.
- **Tricomponent Attitude Model:** This model separates the attitude formation into three interrelated components they are: cognitive, affective, and conative.

Research Gaps and Conceptual Model identified

Despite the increase in research in AI chatbots and CX, there are a number of gaps. First, the majority of the studies pay more attention to such functional aspects as speed, accuracy, and convenience, but they omit such essential features of relationships with customers as emotional involvement and empathy that are essential in long-term relationships. Second, the body of empirical research relating to the association of CX, trust, and brand perception to an integrated theoretical framework is very limited. Third, the influence of ethical data processing and disclosure on trust building is an underresearched point, although it plays a significant role in online relations.

IV. Methodology

Research Design

The offered learning will have the quantitative, cross-sectional survey design to test the effect of AI chatbot communication on CX and brand perception in the e-commerce sector [6]. Quantitative approach enables establishing the relationship between major variables (chatbot empathy, reliability, responsiveness, customer trust, brand perception) which the statistical methods will measure.

Data Source

Primary and secondary sources of data were used in the study. The main data were gathered by using an online survey that was sent to e-commerce customers who had already used AI chatbots at Amazon, Flipkart, Myntra, and Meesho [6].

Sampling

The study group comprised of the regular online buyers who were aware of the chatbot-based customer service platform [7]. The participants were 50, which is appropriate in the case of exploratory quantitative research a researcher is only aiming at establishing initial patterns, but not necessarily generalizing to the entire population. The criteria of inclusion were that the respondents had to be over 18 years old and they had to have used an AI chatbot in assisting to shop or receiving product recommendations or customer support related to shopping.

Instrument Design

- **Demographics:** Age, gender, online shopping occurrence and favorite online shopping platform.
- **AI-Based Personalization and Customer Experience** - Items indicating chatbot usefulness, empathy, and responsiveness.
- **Consumer Trust and Privacy Concerns** - Questions investigating attitude to data security and transparency.
- **Engagement and Purchase Intention**- Items used to gauge behavior responses like repeated purchase probability.
- **Brand Perception**- Questions that measure the impact that chatbot interactions have on brand image and trustworthiness [7].

A pilot test, which included 10 respondents, was approved out to narrow down on the wording, determine the level of clarity and regulate whether the apparatus was suitable in taking the future variables.

Data Analysis Techniques

Analyzed data were done through IBM SPSS software. The analysis included:

- Descriptive Statistics to give an overview of the demographic data and the general response rates.

The Impact of AI Chatbots on Customer Experience and Brand Perception

- Reliability Testing with Cronbach alpha to test internal consistency of constructs (0.7 and above are accepted as good) [8].
- Correlation Analysis to estimate the relationship between chatbot empathy, CX, trust and brand perception.

Reliability and Validity

The reliability was also checked using Cronbach alpha where the items in the questionnaire were used to measure an identical construct [9]. The mean alpha was more than 0.8 and this showed high internal consistency.

Ethical Implications and Constraints

The study met the requirements of ethics that is, volunteering, anonymity, and confidentiality of the data. The informed consent was signed by participants who participated in completing the survey and guaranteed that their responses were used academically only.

Results and Discussion Demographic Analysis

The demographic section presents an insight into how the respondents prefer to shop online and the platform of their choice. Out of the 50 respondents, 44% shopped online once per week, uptake also came at 20 per cent once per month or 2-3 times once per month, and 12 said they rarely shopped online. Just 4% said that they shopped several times a week. As far as preference of the platform is concerned, Amazon, Flipkart, and Meesho had 22% of the respondents each, then Myntra (20), and Others (14).

Interpretation of principal Findings Section 2: AI-Powered Customer Experience and Personalization

In response to the question of whether chatbots give customized advice, most participants (50%), disagreed or strongly disagreed with the response whereas only 34% agreed or strongly agreed. This implies that even though the AI systems exist, they do not always accurately reflect customer preferences, which is a discrepancy in the understanding of the personalization accuracy.

In terms of emotional insights, 52% of the interviewees disputed or emphatically disputed the fact that chatbot ideas caused them to feel that the brand comprehended them. This underscores the consistent problem regarding the creation of emotionally intelligent chatbots capable of faking empathy successfully, which Yun and Park (2022) have identified as a lack since they concluded that the empathy of a human being can generate satisfaction in online service interactions.

Section 3: Trust and Privacy of the Consumer

The element of trust turned this aspect as a very important dimension with 60% of the respondents agreeing or strongly agreeing that they trust chatbots to manage their personal information safely, which shows a moderate rating of confidence in their data protection habits. Nevertheless, 52% also cared about the use of the data (agree or strongly agree), which indicates an ambivalence between the trust and the privacy fear- a typical trend in studies on the adoption of AI.

On the question of whether disclosure of transparency enhances trust, only 34% indicated that it did, with 38% indicating the neutrality, which indicates that the existing e-commerce disclosures on privacy are not clear or visible. In parallel, 38% reported they would share data in case a brand offered privacy guarantees, which is in line with Cai et al. (2024) who found that transparency was a factor to build trust in AI commerce.

Section 4: Engagement and Purchase Intention

Favorable chatbot experiences encouraged 48% of the respondents to rise their time on e-commerce websites, which settles the Tricomponent Attitude Model conative (behavioral) constituent favorable experiences encourage engagement. Likewise, 54% were more motivated to make recurrent visits to the sites where the chatbots were used positively, showing the connection among the suitable chatbot performance and the retaining of customers. Nonetheless, just 32% of them admitted that chatbots precious their buying decisions and 48% said that they not or powerfully not agree. This result suggests that although chatbots can help enable interaction and provide information, they not essentially cause conversion behavior, which is like to Gao et al. (2025) who exposed that robotic tones could challenge the purchase intentions.

Section 5: Brand Perception and Managerial Implications

There was a strong perception of brands that use chatbots as innovative and customer oriented with a significant percentage of 62% of respondents having the perception that chatbots brands are innovative and customer oriented. More so, 62% of them were in agreement or strongly in agreement that ethical personalization enhances trust, which confirms

the SERVQUAL dimension of assurance.

Statistical Results Correlation and Regression Analysis

The correlation analysis revealed a positive correlation between chatbot empathy, reliability and customer experience ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$) meaning that human-like features are a significant determinant of user satisfaction. The correlations between responsiveness and brand trust ($r = 0.61$) also supported the dimension of responsiveness of SERVQUAL.

Regression analysis originate that overall customer knowledge is pointedly predicted by chatbot understanding and consistency with chatbots explanation 56% of the variation in the customer experience scores ($R^2 = 0.56$). In accumulation, the relationship among chatbot experience and brand insight (0.47 , $p = 0.01$) was facilitated by trust, which confirmed that positive chatbot experiences improved brand trust, which then better the intentions to break loyal.

Connecting Theoretical Models to Findings

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): The findings confirm the main constructs of TAM, which are the perceived usefulness and ease of use [10].

SERVQUAL Framework: The results of the study perfectly correlate with the dimensions of SERVQUAL, reliability, responsiveness and assurance proved to be the main aspects of CX [11].

Tricomponent Attitude Model: Cognitive (belief regarding efficacy of chatbots), affective (satisfaction of emotions), and conative (reuse intention) elements were all present.

Comparison of Literature with the Past The results support stated that empathy and prompt turnaround improve CX, as well as ensure the transparent use of data.

V. Conclusion and Recommendations Conclusion

This paper accomplishes that AI chatbots can brand the customer experience (CX) and brand awareness much more confident in the event of the empathetic, reliable and transparent design.

Recommendations For Businesses:

- **Include Emotional AI:** Provide chatbots with sentiment analysis in order to identify the emotions of the user and react in an empathetic manner.
- **Hybrid Human-AI Support:** Offer human escalation and automatic answers on multifaceted or sensitive queries.
- **Practice Ethical Data:** Be straightforward regarding the gathering and use of data to develop long-term trust.
- **Audit Tone and Consistency:** Chatbot communication should also be reread on the regular basis to safeguard that it is reliable with the standards of the brand.
- **Take advantage of Chatbot Analytics:** Improve personalization through the conversations and notice service gaps.

For Researchers:

The future studies are to take the longitudinal and cross-cultural design to examine the dynamics of consumer trust in the course of time and region. Moreover, qualitative research, which may be an interview or focus group, may reveal more emotional understanding of the relationships that customers experience with AI chatbots.

Acknowledgment

The author owes gratitude to Mr. Arkarag Chaudhuri, Alliance University who assisted and supervised him throughout the research and writing of the manuscript.

References

- [1] J.-S. Chen, T.-T.-Y. Le, and D. Florence, "Usability and responsiveness of artificial intelligence chatbot on online customer experience in e-retailing," *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, vol. 49, no. 11, May 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijrdm-08-2020-0312>.
- [2] M. A. Raji, H. B. Olodo, T. T. Oke, W. A. Addy, O. C. Ofodile, and A. T. Oyewole, "E-commerce and Consumer behavior: a Review of AI-powered Personalization and Market Trends," *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 18,

The Impact of AI Chatbots on Customer Experience and Brand Perception

- no. 3, pp. 066–077, Mar. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.18.3.0090>.
- [3] D. Sipos, “The Effects of AI-Powered Personalization on Consumer Trust, Satisfaction, and Purchase Intent,” *European Journal of Applied Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 14–24, Mar. 2025, doi: [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejaset.2025.3\(2\).02](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejaset.2025.3(2).02).
- [4] J. Yun and J. Park, “The Effects of Chatbot Service Recovery with Emotion Words on Customer Satisfaction, Repurchase Intention, and Positive Word-Of-Mouth,” *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 13, no.1, May 2022, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.922503>.
- [5] F. Magno and G. Dossena, “Digital services to improve customer experience. An empirical analysis of the impact of chatbots on customer-brand relationships,” 2021. Available: <https://sites.les.univr.it/eisic/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/25-Magno-Dossena.pdf>
- [6] A. A. Fadele and A. Rocha, “Quantitative Research Measurements Classifications,” *Studies in systems, decision and control*, pp. 131–154, Jan. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-91431-7_5.
- [7] M. Ahmad and S. Wilkins, “Purposive sampling in qualitative research: a framework for the entire journey,” *Quality & Quantity*, vol. 59, no. 2, Dec. 2024, Available: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11135-024-02022-5>
- [8] J. Novak, “A comparison of the appropriateness of various internal consistency coefficients – an alternative to Cronbach’s alpha,” *Unizg.hr*, 2025. <https://repozitorij.unizg.hr/islandora/object/fzgz:12566>
- [9] I. Hussey, T. Alsalti, F. Bosco, M. Elson, and R. Arslan, “An Aberrant Abundance of Cronbach’s Alpha Values at .70,” *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, vol. 8, no. 1, Jan. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/25152459241287123>
- [10] A. Soni and S. Dubey, “The Impact of AI-Powered Chatbots on Customer Satisfaction in E-commerce Marketing (TAM Approach),” 2024. Available: <https://www.mcu.ac.in/jpra/2024/Volume-3-Issue-1-January-June-2024/02-jpra-volume-3-issue-1-january-june-2024.pdf>
- [11] M. A. Dzakwan and Fadliadi Ubit, “Understanding Service Quality And Satisfaction In Education And Training Through The SERVQUAL Model,” *seulanga*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 16–27, Jun. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.47655/seulanga.v4i1.220>.