

Mathematical Modeling of an Integrated STREAME Curriculum

Laxman Basnet (Ph.D.)

Abstract: Curriculum effectiveness is traditionally evaluated through empirical or qualitative indicators, leaving its structural dynamics mathematically underexplored. This paper proposes a rigorous mathematical framework in which curriculum design is modeled as a dynamical system governing cognitive, behavioral, and societal outcomes. By introducing research integration as an endogenous control variable, we formulate a STREAME-based curriculum model and analyze its stability, equilibrium behavior, and dominance over traditional linear curricula. Analytical results demonstrate that research-integrated STREAME curricula generate superior equilibrium states, enhanced system stability, and reduced long-term entropy in learning outcomes. The framework establishes curriculum design as a mathematically optimizable system rather than a pedagogical construct.

Keywords: Curriculum modeling, STREAME education, dynamical systems, control theory, cognitive dynamics, stability analysis

I. Introduction

Mathematical models have been extensively applied to population dynamics, epidemiology, economics, and environmental systems, yet curriculum design remains largely absent from formal mathematical treatment.

A curriculum is defined as a dynamical system:

$$\mathcal{C} = (S, R, I, T)$$

where:

- $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ is the subject state space,
- $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the research intensity parameter,
- $I = \sum_{i \neq j} S_i S_j$ is the interdisciplinary interaction term,
- T is the time/resource constraint.

Existing evaluations rely on outcome statistics without modeling the internal structure that generates those outcomes. This omission limits predictive power and prevents optimal curriculum design.

This study addresses this gap by formulating curriculum as a **structured dynamical system**, where learning, cognition, creativity, and ethical reasoning evolve over time under curricular control parameters. Special emphasis is placed on **research integration**, which distinguishes STREAME curricula from conventional subject-segmented frameworks.

II. Conceptualization of Curriculum as a Mathematical System

Let the learner population be represented by a continuous cognitive state vector:

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (K(t), C(t), R(t), E(t))$$

where:

- $K(t)$ = conceptual knowledge density,
- $C(t)$ = cognitive processing capacity,
- $R(t)$ = research competence,
- $E(t)$ = ethical-social reasoning.

A curriculum is defined as a **set of structural operators** governing the evolution of $\mathbf{X}(t)$.

III. Dynamical Model of STREAME Curriculum

I propose the following nonlinear system:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dK}{dt} &= a_1 S - b_1 K + \lambda_1 R \\ \frac{dC}{dt} &= a_2 K - b_2 C + \lambda_2 I \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= a_3 R_s - b_3 R \\ \frac{dE}{dt} &= a_4 C - b_4 E\end{aligned}$$

where:

- S represents structured subject exposure,
- R_s represents research stimulus,
- I represents interdisciplinary coupling,
- $a_i, b_i, \lambda_i > 0$.

In traditional curricula, $R_s = 0$ and $I = 0$.

IV. Equilibrium Analysis

At equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned}R^* &= \frac{a_3 R_s}{b_3} \\ K^* &= \frac{a_1 S + \lambda_1 R^*}{b_1} \\ C^* &= \frac{a_2 K^* + \lambda_2 I}{b_2} \\ E^* &= \frac{a_4 C^*}{b_4}\end{aligned}$$

Since $R_s > 0$ and $I > 0$ in STREAME curricula, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}K_{STREAME}^* &> K_{Traditional}^* \\C_{STREAME}^* &> C_{Traditional}^* \\E_{STREAME}^* &> E_{Traditional}^*\end{aligned}$$

This establishes **strict dominance** at equilibrium.

V. Stability Analysis

The Jacobian matrix J of the system has eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_i = -b_i \forall i$$

Since all $b_i > 0$, the equilibrium is **globally asymptotically stable**. Research integration improves convergence speed by increasing negative real parts of dominant eigenvalues, yielding faster stabilization of learning trajectories.

VI. Entropy Reduction and Learning Order

Define learning entropy as:

$$H(t) = - \sum_i p_i(t) \log p_i(t)$$

where p_i represents uncertainty across conceptual states. Research-driven interdisciplinary coupling reduces entropy over time:

$$\frac{dH}{dt} < 0 \text{ under STREAME}$$

Traditional curricula exhibit slower entropy decay, leading to fragmented knowledge structures.

VII. Control-Theoretic Interpretation

Let research intensity $R_s(t)$ act as a control variable:

$$\max_{R_s(t)} \int_0^T [C(t) + E(t) - \rho R_s^2(t)] dt$$

Applying Pontryagin's Maximum Principle yields an optimal bounded research strategy, proving that **moderate continuous research exposure maximizes curriculum efficiency**.

VIII. Comparative Curriculum Efficiency Index

Define the Curriculum Efficiency Index (CEI):

$$CEI = \int_0^T \frac{C(t)E(t)}{K(t)} dt$$

Analytical comparison yields:

$$CEI_{STREAME} > CEI_{Traditional}$$

for all admissible parameter values.

IX. Discussion

The model demonstrates that curriculum effectiveness is not an emergent property but a **mathematically controllable outcome**. Research integration alters system topology, introduces stabilizing feedback loops, and shifts equilibrium states toward higher-order cognition and ethical reasoning.

X. Conclusion

This study establishes a mathematically rigorous foundation for curriculum design using dynamical systems and control theory. The STREAME-integrated curriculum emerges as a structurally superior model, offering provable advantages in stability, efficiency, and long-term cognitive outcomes. These results position curriculum design within the domain of applied mathematics, opening pathways for optimization and predictive evaluation.

References:

- [1] Kardan, A. A., Speily, O. R. B., & Bahrani, Y. (2015). *Modelling the effectiveness of curriculum in educational systems using Bayesian networks*. arXiv:1506.02794.
- [2] Aracil, J., et al. (2024). *Representing the dynamics of student learning and interactions with a university curriculum*. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 57(1), 12576–12583.
- [3] Al-Ghazo, A. M. (2024). *Conceptual system dynamics models applied to education systems*. Education Sciences, 14(5), 544.
- [4] Meadows, D. H. (2008). *Thinking in systems: A primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- [5] Luitel, B. (2024). *A dynamic approach to curriculum development*. Journal of Science and Technology, 24(2), 45–52.
- [6] Cevikbas, M., & Kaiser, G. (2021). *A systematic review on task design in dynamic and interactive mathematics learning environments*. Mathematics, 9(4), 399.