The International Journal of Business Management and Technology, Volume 9 Issue 7 July 2025
ISSN: 2581-3889

Research Article Open Access

Implications of Alternative Payment Methods in the Philippine Financial Technology Ecosystem: A Research Note

Mark Francis G. Ng
Doctor of Philosophy in Management
Bicol University, Legazpi City,Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8756-3062

Abstract: *This conceptual research note provides a concise overview of alternative payment methods (APMs) and their crucial role in the continued popularity and patronage of financial technology in business transactions in the Philippines. It explains the concept of APMs, describes theirfeatures, lists its various categories, provides examplesthat enjoy mainstream usage in the Philippines, and itssignificant role on financial inclusion.It likewisetackles the eventual rise of APMsespecially during the global pandemic. Emerging themes observed from academic literature include the rise of digital finance, shifts in financial behavior, market expansion and competition, informal sector inclusion, consumer protection, and regulatory oversight. More importantly, this paper highlights the significant implications of APMs in the field of financial technology thru the four pillars of disruption, automation, digitization, and simplification.It also provides implications for significant stakeholders such as consumers, financial institutions, service providers, and policy makers on how to strategically utilize, operationalize, and regulate the use of APMs as part of the digital economy. Finally, for its research outcomes and contributions, this paper enumerates suggestions for future research that are deemed to yield timely insights into the emerging challenges and opportunities of APMs in the current digital finance era.*

Keywords: Alternative Payment Methods, Digital Finance, Financial Technology, Online Payments, Philippines

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's increasingly capitalist and consumerist global economy, marketing experts have collectively paid close attention to payment options. They believe that without flexible and secure payment methods, customers may lose interest in completing purchases, thus leading to a phenomenon known as "shopping cart abandonment" which could cause a potential drop in business revenues (Chopra et al., 2024). Over the years, particularly with the rise of financial technology, the product-exchange process has significantly evolved and expanded. While the use of magnetic stripe technology on bank cards was considered a groundbreaking innovation in the mid-20th century (Zarco et al., 2024), the world today is rapidly shifting toward a cashless society (Kamal et al., 2024). While traditional cash and card-based payments like debit and credit cards still dominate, the increasing consumer preference for electronic commerce has accelerated the emergence and adoption of alternative payment methods (APMs) (Bhuiyan et al., 2025). Coupled with the growing popularity and global consumer patronage towards financial technologies, APMs are now slowly pushing its way into the mainstream as popular payment solutions.

Alternative payment methods (APM) refer to non-traditional forms of payment that do not rely on cash or physical cards (Putrevu&Mertzanis, 2024). Nowadays, the definition of APM has expanded to include any mode of paying for a product or a service that doesn't involve cash or a traditional bank account. Instead, these methods rely on digital infrastructure and include mobile wallets, app-based payments, and contactless transactions (Dalton et al., 2023). In other words, APMs generally refer to payment methods other than the use of traditional payment schemes such as cash, debit cards or credit cards, and cheques. These are also referred to in recent academic literature as "emerging digital payment technologies" (Mogaji& Nguyen, 2024) designed to enhance accessibility, cost-efficiency, speed, and local adaptability particularly in regions underserved by traditional banking infrastructures.

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly accelerated APM adoption as physical distancing necessitated digital transactions. Khan and Naved (2021) identifies 2020 as an inflection point for APM research, especially in mobile money and embedded payments. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) in its 2023 FinTech Notes also highlights a 50% increase in digital payment volume between 2019 and 2021, directly linked to the pandemic's acceleration of FinTech services (IMF, 2023). In the Philippines, the BangkoSentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) estimates that over 40 million Filipinos use mobile wallets regularly as of 2023, and those digital payments account for more than 42% of total retail payments. These trends therefore highlight that, whether locally or globally, there is a significant transformation in how financial services is accessed and delivered during the post-pandemic era particularly in the urban and semi-urban areas.

APMs are also linked to financial inclusion by providing underserved populations with access to basic financial services without requiring a formal bank account. Studies (Karim et al., 2020; Agustin et al., 2023) have found a positive correlation between e-wallet use and financial behavior improvement, particularly in micro-enterprises and remittance-dependent households. These show that APMs provide consumers with faster and more convenient ways to make purchases and send and receive money. APMs also provide businesses with a wider payment network from its customers (Nugraheni et al., 2024) as they slowly transition and expand from traditional brick-and-mortar stores to digital stores and cloud stores as a result of e-commerce. With these findings, financial technology startup companies and other tech giants continue to offer innovative payments technology to both consumers and entrepreneurs who now opt for non-traditional payment methods.

In the Philippines, the institutionalization of APMs has also significantly disrupted conventional payment infrastructures. However, despite growing academic inquiry into mobile money and embedded payments globally, there remains a research gap in understanding how these shifts manifest and operationalize within a local geographical context as compared to a global setting. Although alternative payment methodsare rapidly utilized in emerging markets such as the Philippines, current academic literature primarily emphasizes the technological advantages and consumer benefits of APMs on a general or global scale. A significant research gap remains in localized, ecosystem-specific studies examining the interaction of APMs with structural factors, including regulatory oversight, infrastructure constraints, and disparities in socioeconomic status. Specifically, there is limited understanding of how APMs influence or potentially contribute to financial inclusion, consumer protection, and systemic resilience in the Philippines. This research note addresses this gap by conceptually analyzing the implications of APMs through the integrated analytical framework of disruption, automation, digitization, and simplification, emphasizing unique trends, risks, and strategic directions crucial to key stakeholders.

Additionally, recent literature has emphasized the need to examine how APMs influence the entire fintech ecosystem of the country in terms of digital inclusion and consumer behavior. This research note therefore also seeks to synthesize these multidimensional insights, providing a timely analysis of APM integration and operationalization in the Philippines amidst rapid fintech evolution. It is believed that understanding the Philippine experience with APMs will have broader implications for the global fintech industry and contribute to the growing global discourse on designing inclusive, efficient, and resilient digital financial systems.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

MAINSTREAM USE IN THE PHILIPPINES

Alternative Payment Methods (APMs) enjoy mainstream use in the Philippines thru a wide array of non-traditional and digitally enabled financial solutions. They offer consumers flexibility, security, and convenience outside of the mainstream cash-based and card-based payment schemes. As consumers slowly utilize online payment schemes, digital payments, mobile wallets, or even smartphones and biometrics as part of payment options aided by financial technologies, APMs have continued to increase in popularity.

Bank transfers (or wire transfer) and digital wallets are among the most widely used form of APM in the Philippine financial ecosystem (Capobianco, 2025). Bank transfers allow consumers to approve a digital transaction using online banking wherein money is sent from one bank account to another quickly and securely. Facilitated by Philippine online payment gateways such as InstaPay and PESONet which are both regulated and governed by the BangkoSentral ng Pilipinas (BSP), consumers can make payments conveniently and securely thru online banking websites or mobile apps either for free or for a fee. Digital wallets or mobile wallets (like GCash, Maya, GrabPay, ShopeePay, LazPay, AliPay, and Apple Pay), on the other hand, enable users to store funds, send money, pay bills, scan-to-pay via QR codes, and even access savings, insurance, and investment tools within a convenient app-based user interface. By using a digital wallet, users can complete purchases easily and quickly with near-field communications technology such as mobile devices and digital scanners. Therefore, mobile payment technologies allow customers to make payments for goods and services digitally instead of using traditional payment schemes such as cash, debit or credit cards, and cheques.

Automatic debit arrangements (ADA) are payment methods offered by banks and other fintech platforms for purposes of recurring payments such as utilities, periodic service charges, subscriptions, premium payments, tuition fees, and the like. They aid users in streamlining recurring payments as well as reducing friction in monthly obligations (Ali, 2024). Meanwhile, instant financing and deferred payment services such as GCredit, Home Credit, BillEase, and

Atome support the rising "Buy Now, Pay Later" (BNPL) model which usually cater to the youth and unbanked sectors. Instant financing includes various flexible payment systems including an open-end line of credit and monthly installments without a fixed term commitment. In contrast to bank loans, the credit decision is made instantly, which makes it much simpler than a regular credit application and usually works on more favorable terms. Deferred payments or installments, on the other hand, involves splitting a large single-receipt purchase or even an entire cutoff balance in the case of credit card payments into a number of optional installments depending on the preference of the customer either over the counter or thru the use of a payment app. Paying later or in a series of installments can be considered as attractive options for a customer than making a larger one-time purchase (Maesen& Ang, 2024). Cash-in and cash-out services also offer a solid alternative to credit cards and bank accounts and are also popular among people with low credit scores, younger consumers, or those with limited resources. They are also of critical significance to users without direct bank access to send and receive cash thruover-the-counter (OTC) networks, thus bridging the gap between digital finance and cash economies (Chamboko, 2024). Examples of these include GCash and Maya Centers, 7-Eleven, Palawan Express, and Smart Padala.

APMs also include more advanced payment solutions and emerging technologies that promote convenience, security, and seamless financial integration in daily life. These types of APMs showcase the increasing alignment of technology, regulatory efforts, and market-driven innovation in shaping a more inclusive and robust FinTech ecosystem in the Philippines. The use of QR (Quick Response) Codes enable faster, more convenient, and more secure payments than using debit or credit cards and are among the best payment methods to offer to young and tech-savvy customers (Alam et al., 2024). Platforms such as QR Ph and Paleng-QR Ph Plus in the Philippines are being increasingly adopted not only by large merchants and retailers but also by public markets, sari-sari stores, and microenterprises, thereby enhancing financial inclusion at the grassroots level (Saravanabhavan& Rajeev, 2024). Another technology-enabled APM is direct carrier billing which enables users to purchase digital products or services and charge the payment directly to their mobile phone bill or deduct it from their prepaid mobile load. It is particularly useful for consumers who do not have access to credit cards, bank accounts, or digital wallets, making it a powerful driver of financial inclusion (Shaikh et al., 2023).

It should be noted, however, that in order for APMs to be processed successfully and to clear through the payments system, online payment gateways are needed. Payment gateways are online services that help businesses manage payments from customers so they can shop with confidence and without setbacks when making payments (Buranasujja&Kraiwanit, 2024). An online payment gateway is a technology that enables e-commerce and physical stores to easily accept cash, credit cards, debit cards, e-wallets, and other forms of payments. In traditional brick-and-mortar stores, payment gateways are the point-of-sale (POS) terminals used to swipe or tap cards and QR codes scanned to make a payment. In online shops, e-commerce payment gateways are represented by the checkout pages where customers input and submit their payment details. Some of the top online payment gateways in the Philippines include GCash Payment Solutions, DragonPay, PayMongo, PesoPay, WeePay, PayPal, JuanPay, PayEasy, 2Checkout, and 7Connect.

EMERGING THEMES AND OBSERVATIONS

Review of academic literature and peer-reviewed studies on APMs as part of the digital financial ecosystem highlight a dynamic shift along the aspects of consumer behavior, market applications, technological integration, financial service delivery, consumer protection, and regulation. The growing diversity and reliance on APMs signal a progressive digital payments ecosystem that caters to both mainstream and underserved populations regardless of whether banked or unbanked and irrespective of demographic or financial status. These developments underscore the fact that APMs are transforming transactional convenience and reshaping the landscape of financial inclusion.

Rise of Mobile Wallets and Digital Finance

The rapid rise and adoption of mobile wallets and digital finance apps such as GCash and Maya highlights a profound transformation in financial access and utilization among a diverse group of consumers. Other than facilitating payments and receipts, these digital interfaces have also evolved into more comprehensive financial ecosystems which now offer savings, credit, and investment options, thereby providing greater access to financial services while also promoting a rich mobile experience. These observations collectively signify a salient shift in how users interact with money and related transactions by migrating from traditional cash-centric habits to more efficient and digitally forward money management (Yousef, 2024; Kuswardhani et al., 2025).

Shift in Financial Behavior

The use of APMs has also reshaped consumer behavior as evidenced by a shift from household cash reliance to a growing preference for real-time payments (Chaturvedi & Ranjan, 2025). This shows that in the age of financial technology and digital finance, consumers place a premium on the speed and convenience (George, 2024) provided by the digital financial tools they utilize. Aside from reflecting changing preferences, this behavioral shift have also positively altered the ways in which people spend, save, and manage their personal and household finances.

Market Expansion and Competition

The continued expansion of APMs in the foray of digital finance has also intensified market competition (Latiff et al., 2025) by reshaping the financial technology landscape thru the entry of FinTech firms, digital banks, and other non-traditional players. Traditional banks, in response, have also launched their own digital initiatives and partnered with FinTech firms to remain competitive. Traditional financial institutions have also partnered with other emerging players by capturing niche markets, rapid onboarding of new talent, and integration of financial services with mobile apps. By challenging traditional models, the rise of APMs has made market competition a key driver of financial inclusion (Antwi et al., 2024) thru innovation and digital service diversification.

Informal and Micro-Enterprise Inclusion

The rise of APMs in the Philippines has also significantly advanced the inclusion of informal and micro-enterprises in the digital financial ecosystem (Navo, 2024). This has largely been made possible thru the adoption of mobile wallets, QR code payments, and agent-based services. Thru APMs, market vendors, sari-sari store owners, tricycle drivers, and other micro-entrepreneurs are able to send and accept payments, gain access to other financial platforms such as savings, credit, and insurance, and reduce reliance on cash. By integrating these grassroots consumers into formal financial channels (Randa, 2024), patronizing APM use promotes financial resilience, expands economic participation from all sectors, and supports inclusive economic growth.

Data Privacy, Cybersecurity, and Consumer Protection

While the use of APMs has brought forth speed and convenience in financial services, it has also brought increased attention on the aspects of data privacy, cybersecurity, and consumer protection (Jimmy, 2024). This is because as digital transactions become more frequent, voluminous, and sophisticated, issues over fraud, online scams, identity theft, and system vulnerabilities also merit utmost concern. As digital payment methods evolve, there must also be more robust safeguards and stronger security measures (Kandpal et al., 2025). Examples of these include biometric authentication, device binding, layered online access, and real-time transaction monitoring. These efforts collectively aim to build stronger consumer trust, balance innovation with security, and sustain user confidence over the long-term.

Regulatory Supervision and Oversight

Finally, government regulation and oversight play a pivotal role in the evolution of APMs (Xie& Wu, 2024) to ensure that technological innovation is balanced with consumer protection, financial stability, and financial inclusion. Regulatory initiatives such as the Digital Payments Transformation Roadmap 2020–2023 of the BangkoSentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) in the Philippines strive to achieve a competitive, inclusive, and secure digital financial environment that is within the bounds of law and other applicable financial regulations. Collectively, these regulatory efforts promote a financial technology ecosystem that is not only innovative and inclusive, but also one that is safe, secure, sustainable, and resilient.

III. METHODOLOGY

This paper draws its conceptual analysis from relevant academic literature and peer-reviewed studies published in the last five years (2020 to 2024) identified and purposively selected thru Google Scholar, Scopus, Regulatory Publications, Corporate Websites, and Regulatory Databases using keywords such as "Alternative Payment Methods", "FinTech", "Digital Finance", and "Online Payments". These include: (1) industry reports from global consulting firms that have vast experience in the landscape of financial technology; (2) academic and policy literature with relevant thematic influence and alignment; (3) financial technology policy frameworks from global regulatory bodies; and (4) actual application serving as a key analytical lens.

Insights from these salient academic literature were then analyzed in terms of the thematic lenses or pillars of disruption, automation, digitization, and simplification suitable for fintech-related studies. This four-fold framework is not attributed to a single originating academic source or theorist but has instead emerged organically from industry practice, consulting insights, and research trends as a way to understand the impact of fintech on traditional financial services.These four pillars were selected as they encompass the fundamental transformational domains through which

APMs influence financial service delivery, user behavior, and systemic adaptation. Disruption signifies how APMs challenge conventional systems and transform market frameworks. Automation analyzes the transition to machine-assisted and algorithmic financial operations. Digitization highlights the shift from physical to digital financial transactions. Simplification encompasses user-centric benefits including convenience, efficiency, and accessibility. This analytical framework, while not derived from a single foundational theory, embodies an interdisciplinary synthesis of insights from global contemporary financial technology literature, consulting reports, and regulatory analyses.

However, this conceptual approach also possesses inherent limitations. The lack of empirical data limits the generalizability of findings, making the analysis more interpretive rather than predictive. Secondly, employing an emergent, non-formalized analytical framework may lack theoretical precision or may be perceived as lacking academic provenance in comparison to more established models. Finally, due to the intricate and dynamic characteristics of APMs, the chosen thematic and analytical framework may not fully capture the socio-cultural, behavioral, or institutional complexities that influence digital finance adoption, especially in low-resource or rural settings.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

IMPLICATIONS FROM CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS

The use of alternative payment methods has led to a fast-paced rise in digital commerce all over the world. With the continuing impact and innovation that financial technology has on the global financial services sector and many of its allied industries, the shift towards digital commerce and digital payments is seen to continue in the foreseeable future. Overall, the implications posed by alternative payment methods as a result of rapid advancement in financial technology delves along four key aspects or fintech pillars: (1) disruption; (2) automation; (3) digitization; and (4) simplification.

Disruption

The major cause of digital disruption is the rapid advancement of technology and globalization. In the financial services industry, the proliferation of financial technology has allowed new business models to be introduced at an ever-increasing rate and with rapidly declining costs. It also brings forth changes in business processes and enables transactions to be more improved, streamlined, and cost efficient. The rise of APM has streamlined the payments ecosystem by eliminating data entry when making purchases. It has also offered a remedy for “online friction” or “payment bottlenecks” by streamlining the payment experience (Laamanen&Mikołajewska-Zając, 2024). It offers process improvements in the form of simple checkout procedures, “one-click” purchases, platform-assisted security checks, and business-linked and account-linked payment trails. All these innovations help boost customer loyalty, payment conversion rates, and customer visit frequency (Kehinde & Naomi, 2024).

The disruptive impact of financial technology has also enabled new business models to reach underserved segments of the society (Aysan&Nanaeva, 2022). Another central theme of digital disruption is the creation of new markets with innovative and competitive financial products (Hughes et al., 2023). This shows that financial technology can transform existing business models and financial infrastructure thru the development and adoption of new technologies. The pillar of disruption also lies in fintech’s ability to redefine markets and restructure industry norms. It does not only offer new business models but more importantly challenges conventional financial market structures as well as reshapes current market dynamics (Bahoo et al., 2024). Therefore, it can be surmised that due to the rapid advancement of financial technology, traditional financial institutions are forced to evolve, adapt, and compete, or else risk losing market share and face obsolescence.

Collectively, the academic literature surrounding the implications of disruption in the financial technology ecosystem forward that APMs are not just an incremental innovation but rather a disruption of a complex and broad scale (Judijanto, 2025). They are not just a technology-driven evolution, but in many ways represent a change in the entire financial infrastructure. This transformation requires substantial strategic change from banks, regulators, merchants, and even APM providers themselves. It demands a reorientation of technological infrastructure, shifts in behavior, and a willingness to accept change and innovative leadership. However, although APMs promise transformation, their actual impact is diminished by fundamental weaknesses, particularly in infrastructure, technical consistency, merchant preparedness, and consumer confidence (Liang, 2023). Unless these structural deficiencies are rectified, particularly in rural and underserved areas, APMs may continue to function as remote instruments rather than catalysts for systemic transformation within the entire financial ecosystem.

Automation

Financial automation is defined as the process of utilizing technology options to complete tasks with minimal human intervention (Bostan&Dragomirescu, 2024). It also enables companies to use financial technology to cut costs, scale operations, improve process workflows, speed up data processing, and free up resources to focus and perform more complex tasks. With the advent of the Internet of Things (IoT) in the field of financial technology, alternative payment methods provide a platform to streamline end-to-end payments transactions in real-time. Payment software, payment apps, and online payment gateways also have the capability to analyze big data and perform data analytics using machine learning and artificial intelligence (Alao et al., 2024) in areas such as financial analysis, invoice automation, collections action, and even financial statements preparation. The real-world impact of financial automation lies in its ability to streamline fintech services (Alam et al., 2025). It also enables real-time detection of financial fraud and irregularities (Kou & Lu, 2025), thereby replacing manual reviews. In addition, they also enhance customer experiences by offering personalized financial solutions through the use of big data and machine learning.

The academic literature on financial technology acknowledges that APMs encompass more than merely digital payment alternatives. They serve as engines of automation, converting end-to-end transactions from initiation to reconciliation (Singireddy et al., 2024). They consistently transform fintech operations to achieve seamless, efficient, and scalable financial systems. Nonetheless, automation is hindered by considerable challenges (Chen et al., 2024), including deficiencies in digital skills, vulnerabilities in infrastructure, lack of system interoperability, and dependence on human oversight, all of which restrict its potential effectiveness. Until these issues are resolved, true fintech automation will remain only partially useful and will frequently revert to manual systems in the event of failures.

Digitization

Financial technology has enabled digitization which is the process of converting and storing information into a digital format. In effect, digitizing information makes it easier to preserve, access, and share data and make it more portable and accessible (Okoye et al., 2024). Financial technology platforms that facilitate the payments process thru the use of APMs are able to securely store the payment information of users as well as passwords for numerous payment methods and websites. As many people today feel insecure about carrying physical cash with them, the utilization of APMs makes it easier for consumers to store their payment credentials in a digital database and therefore enable them to perform cashless and paperless transactions with relative ease and security.

Digitization brings forth cashless and paperless systems in processing financial transactions. However, it demands considerable technological investment and system-wide integration (Bueno et al., 2024) in order to offer opportunities for improved service delivery, enhanced customer experiences, and extended financial reach for underserved populations. However, while APMs present technological possibilities in terms of digitization, their influence is deeply constrained by structural limitations (Chen et al., 2024) such as in terms of infrastructure, policy design, digital literacy, public trust, and specific merchant limitations. Until these gaps are addressed, APMs alone cannot catalyze the comprehensive digital economy the Philippines aspires to build.

Simplification

The use of financial technology has also greatly simplified the payments process thru the use of alternative payment methods (Omowole et al., 2024). Thru APMs, users can complete purchases easily and quickly either thru the use of online gateways, apps, or near-field communication devices such as mobile phones and digital scanners. It has also reduced the bureaucratic cash disbursement process into just a few clicks or online windows. It has eliminated the need for rigid human intervention as transaction validation can be done entirely digitally. It also has made cash more portable than ever, allowing people to gain access to money and perform financial transactions anytime and anywhere it is needed. Financial transactions and records are also facilitated and updated in real-time. It also expedites the payments process by making all transactions paperless. Finally, it promotes physical safety by allowing users to transact with limited to no physical contact, as well as digital safety by ensuring that virtual payment trails and factored authentications are available when authorizing purchases and payments. Therefore, APMs are not only catalysts for digital transformation but are powerful simplification tools. They promote cashless payments that are intuitive, inclusive, and sustainable. However, without intentional design and financial system alignment, APMs may merely add complexity to established processes (Obeng et al., 2024) instead of converting them into genuinely streamlined digital experiences.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RELEVANT STAKEHOLDERS

Consumers

The expansion of APMs has significantly enhanced convenience, accessibility, and personalization in financial transactions. They have facilitated real-time, cost-effective transactions without requiring a traditional bank account, thereby promoting the financial inclusion of previously unbanked or underbanked populations. Consumers gain benefits from streamlined user interfaces, integrated services, and enhanced merchant involvement. However, this convenience entails significant trade-offs, such as data privacy vulnerabilities, exposure to digital fraud, and excessive reliance on mobile connectivity. Consequently, although APMs empower consumers, their advantages rely heavily on digital literacy, transparent frameworks, and strong consumer protection measures.

Financial Institutions

Traditional financial institutions encounter both prospects and competitive challenges due to the emergence of Alternative Payment Methods (APMs). They are compelled to modernize infrastructure and establish strategic alliances with fintech companies to maintain relevance in a rapidly evolving market. Conversely, banks face the danger of losing market share to more agile and customer-centric fintech startups. Consequently, banks must pursue improved data analytics capabilities, enhanced customer engagement, and greater operational efficiency to adapt and maintain relevance.

APM Providers

APM providers benefit from accelerated user growth, access to transaction data for credit assessment, product innovation, and opportunities for expansion. Nonetheless, the fintech industry also encounters developmental challenges such as heightened regulatory scrutiny, issues with addressing cybersecurity threats, and the need to establish sustainable business models. Consequently, APM providers must reconcile growth with public trust while developing secure, interoperable, and inclusive financial platforms.

Regulators and Policy Makers

For policymakers and regulators, APMs represent both a policy instrument and a regulatory challenge. APMs function as instruments to promote national objectives for financial inclusion and digitalization. Additionally, they assist regulators in gathering real-time financial data to enhance economic oversight. However, the rapidly evolving APM ecosystem also presents risks concerning consumer protection, system stability, data privacy, and cross-border compliance. Consequently, there is a necessity for collaborative and progressive regulation through constant oversight, industry dialogue, digital literacy initiatives, and investment in regulatory technology to harmonize innovation with risk management and guarantee an inclusive and robust financial ecosystem.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research on alternative payment methods (APMs) presents significant opportunities to enhance comprehension of their systemic effects, particularly as they persist in transforming the global financial landscape through disruption, automation, digitization, and simplification. Longitudinal studies on financial resilience among APM users can investigate whether access to digital finance tools and services fosters sustainable financial behaviors or creates financial management vulnerabilities over time, especially within low-income populations. Secondly, analyzing trust and risk perceptions in digital finance is essential for understanding how consumers assess APM platforms in light of increasing cybersecurity concerns and the proliferation of automated financial systems. Third, policy evaluations regarding interoperability and equitable competition among APM providers can examine how regulatory frameworks and digital infrastructures may facilitate or obstruct equal access to digital financial markets. Fourth, investigating vulnerabilities to financial fraud, particularly in automated and streamlined payment systems, can evaluate how fintech design decisions may inadvertently render users susceptible to financial fraud and scams. Ultimately, critical analyses of the accountability of APM providers in instances of fraud or scams can assess current regulatory responses, remedial mechanisms, and weaknesses in consumer protection, particularly as digitized systems minimize human oversight. These future research directions will yield timely insights into the emerging challenges and opportunities of APMs in the digital finance era.

V. CONCLUSION

Alternative payment methods provide both customers and businesses with the convenience and choice that they would not have otherwise enjoyed thru the use of traditional payment methods. They enable people to pay in a way that suits them and offers them with a broad range of payment options at the checkout point as opposed to those offered by traditional forms of payment. Alternative payment methods can also be beneficial for companies that wish to enable seamless cross-border payments. While it can be argued that traditional payment methods still operate in the payments market in much of the Philippines and in the world, it is undoubtedly observable that APMs are consistently on the rise. It would be prudent, therefore, for consumers to explore and utilize these innovative payment methods and take advantage of the convenience, security, and accessibility that they provide with the help of financial technology.

The growing adoption of Alternative Payment Methods (APMs) in the Philippines has consequently transformed the landscape of the local financial technology ecosystem, indicating a significant transition towards digital-centric financial practices and inclusive economic engagement.They indicate an important shift in the financial technology ecosystem, influenced by disruption, automation, digitization, and simplification. This research note emphasizes that APMs function not merely as transactional instruments but also as agents of systemic change, while also challenging conventional frameworks, regulatory oversight, and user confidence. The Philippine case therefore provides significant insights for other emerging and developing markets undergoing comparable transformations, particularly in reconciling accelerated digitalization with financial inclusion and institutional trust. As APMs broaden in scope and complexity, future research should investigate their socio-economic effects, behavioral aspects, and governance frameworks to guide adaptive policy and sustainable innovation. This research note therefore contributes to a comprehensive understanding of how APMs are not only transforming payments but also reconfiguring the fundamental structure of digital finance in the Philippines.

This paper synthesizes current literature and contextualizes global fintech themes within the Philippine context, addressing a significant gap in understanding the emergence of APMs in developing countries, especially where digital adoption interacts with infrastructural and policy limitations. It contributes to ongoing scholarly discussions regarding whether financial technology genuinely promotes access to finance or perpetuates new forms of exclusion and dependency. Theoretically, this research note emphasizes the necessity of employing a comprehensive conceptual model to examine the complex effects of digital finance. It also forwards the notion that as the digital finance era continues to evolve, future research must extend beyond adoption metrics to critically examine the governance, equity, and resilience of digital payment ecosystems across various socio-economic contexts.

REFERENCES

[1] Agustin, A., Marvella, D., &Deviarti, H. (2023). The impact of e-wallet towards consumer behavior in Indonesia moderated by consumers aged 15-30. E3S Web of Conferences. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202342601048

[2] Alam, S.S., Ahmed, S., Kokash, H.A., Mahmud, M.S., &Sharnali, S.Z. (2024). **Utility and hedonic perception-Customers' intention towards using of QR codes in mobile payment of Generation Y and Generation Z**. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2024.101389

[3] Alam, S.M.A., Akter, R., Khan, S.N., & Ahmad, S. (2025). **FinTech: A New Financial Revolution**. Emerald Studies in Finance, Insurance, and Risk Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83753-750-120251017

[4] Alao, O.B., Dudu, O.F., Alonge, E.O., & Eze, C.E. (2024). **Automation in financial reporting: A conceptual framework for efficiency and accuracy in U.S. corporations**. Global Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. https://doi.org/10.58175/gjarr.2024.2.2.0057

[5] Ali, A.O. (2024). **The Payments Security and Convenience on Consumer of Mobile Payments in Baguio City, Philippines**. European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies. http://dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejmms.v9i3.1872

[6] Antwi, F., Kong, Y., & Gyimah, K. N. (2024). **Financial inclusion, competition and financial stability: New evidence from developing economies**. Heliyon. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33723

[7] Aysan, A.F., &Nanaeva, Z. (2022). **Fintech as a Financial Disruptor: A Bibliometric Analysis**. FinTech, 1(4), 412-433. https://doi.org/10.3390/fintech1040031

[8] Bahoo, S., Cucculelli, M., Goga, X., &Mondolo, J. (2024). **Artificial intelligence in Finance: a comprehensive review through bibliometric and content analysis**. SN Business & Economics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-023-00618-x

[9] BangkoSentral ng Pilipinas (BSP). **2023 Report on E-Payments Measurement**. http://bsp.gov.ph.

[10] Bhuiyan, M.R.I., Akter, M.S. & Islam, S. (2025). **How does digital payment transform society as a cashless society? An empirical study in the developing economy**. Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 756-774. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-10-2023-0170

[11] Bostan, A.I. &Dragomirescu, O.A. (2024). **Revolutionizing Finance: Insights on the Impact of Automation**. Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence, Sciendo. https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2024-0275

[12] Bueno, L.A., Sigahi, T.F.A.C., Rampasso, I.S., Filho, W.L., &Anholon, R. (2024). **Impacts of digitization on operational efficiency in the banking sector: Thematic analysis and research agenda proposal**. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2024.100230

[13] Buranasujja, R., &Kraiwanit, T. (2024). **Payment gateway selection for online purchases: Evidence of an emerging market**. Corporate governance: Research and advanced practices (pp. 129–135). https://doi.org/10.22495/cgrapp23

[14] Capobianco, A. (2025). **Competition in Mobile Payment Services – Note by the Philippines**. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

[15] Chamboko, R. (2024). **Digital financial services adoption: a retrospective time-to-event analysis approach**. Financial Innovation. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-023-00568-1

[16] Chaturvedi, A. & Ranjan, S. (2025). **Impact of Digital Payment Trends: Unraveling Consumer Behavior Patterns (Pre- and Post-COVID-19)**. Microfinance, Financial Innovation, and Sustainable Entrepreneurship in Economics. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6622-6.ch008

[17] Chen, H., Wei, N., Wang, L., Mobarak, W.F.M., Albahar, M.A., & Shaikh, Z.A. (2024). **The Role of Blockchain in Finance Beyond Cryptocurrency: Trust, Data Management, and Automation**. IEEE Access. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3395918

[18] Chopra, I.P., Jebarajakirthy, C., Jain, T. et al. (2024). **Electronic shopping cart abandonment: What do we know and where should we be heading?.** Electron Markets. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-024-00697-0

[19] Dalton, P.S., Pamuk, H., Ramrattan, R., Uras, B., & Van Soest, D. (2023). **Electronic Payment Technology and Business Finance: A Randomized, Controlled Trial with Mobile Money**. Management Science 70(4):2590-2625. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.4821

[20] George, A.S. (2024). **Finance 4.0: The Transformation of Financial Services in the Digital Age**. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11666694

[21] Hughes, L., Seddon, J., & Dwivedi, Y.K. (2023). **Disruptive change within financial technology: A methodological analysis of digital transformation challenges**. Journal of Information Technology. https://doi.org/10.1177/02683962231219512

[22] International Monetary Fund (2023). **The Rise and Impact of Fintech in Latin America**. FinTech Notes. ISSN 2664-5912.

[23] Jimmy, F. (2024). **Enhancing Data Security in Financial Institutions with Blockchain Technology**. Journal of Artificial Intelligence General Science (JAIGS) ISSN:3006-4023, 5(1), 424–437. https://doi.org/10.60087/jaigs.v5i1.217

[24] Judijanto, L. (2025). **Fintech Disruption in Traditional Banking: A Bibliometric Review**. The Es Economics and Entrepreneurship. https://doi.org/10.58812/esee.v3i03.542

[25] Kamal, R.M., Ibrahim, N.A.N., &Nipo, D.T. (2024). **Society's Growing Preference for Cashless Transactions Over Cash. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences**. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i9/22781

[26] Kandpal, V., Ozili, P.K., Jeyanthi, P.M., Ranjan, D., & Chandra, D. (2025). **Cybersecurity and Ensuring Privacy in Digital Finance**. Digital Finance and Metaverse in Banking. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83662-088-420251007

[27] Karim, M.W., Haque, A., Ulfy, M.A., Hossain, M.A., & Anis, M.Z. (2020). **Factors Influencing the Use of E-wallet as a Payment Method among Malaysian Young Adults**. Journal of International Business and Management. https://doi.org/10.37227/jibm-2020-2-21

[28] Kehinde A., O., & Naomi O., A. . (2024). **Digital Payment Option Adoption and Customer Experience Management among SMES in the Retail Sector**. African Multidisciplinary Journal of Research, 9(1), 78–96. https://doi.org/10.71064/spu.amjr.9.1.300

[29] Khan, A. &Naved, M. (2021). **Determining mobile payment adoption: A systematic literature search and bibliometric analysis**. Cogent Business & Management. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1893245

[30] Kou, G., & Lu, Y. (2025). **FinTech: a literature review of emerging financial technologies and applications**. Financial Innovation. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-024-00668-6

[31] Kuswardhani, E. L., Sari, M. A. N. P., Yuniawan, A., &Kusumawardhani, A. (2025). **The Influence of E-Wallets on the Consumptive Behavior Generation Z: Systematic Review**. Economic and Business Horizon, 4(2), 263–270.

[32] Laamanen, M. &Mikołajewska-Zając, K. (2024). **Ecologies of friction in digital platform investment**. Information, Communication & Society. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2024.2352631
[33] Latiff, A.R., Alqudah, M.Z., Samara, H., &Alslaibi, N. (2025). **Empowering the financial sector: the role of fintech research development trends**. Future Business Journal. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00512-y
[34] Liang, S. (2023). The future of finance: fintech and digital transformation. Highlights in Business, Economics, & Management. https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v15i.9222
[35] Maesen, S., & Ang, D. (2024). **Buy Now, Pay Later: Impact of Installment Payments on Customer Purchases**. Journal of Marketing, 89(3), 13-35. https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429241282414
[36] Mogaji, E. & Nguyen, N.P. (2024). **Evaluating the emergence of contactless digital payment technology for transportation**. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123378
[37] Navo, N. (2024). **Empowering Philippine MSMEs Through Digital Transformation**. UP Institute for Small-Scale Industries (UP ISSI).
[38] Nugraheni, P., Salpiah, N.S.Y., & Hamzah, N. (2024). **Fintech, Financial Knowledge and Performance: Factors That Support The Sustainability of MSMEs**. SHS Web of Conferences. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202420101011
[39] Obeng, S., Iyelolu, T.V., Akinsulire, A.A., &Idemudia, C. (2024). **The Transformative Impact of Financial Technology (FinTech) on Regulatory Compliance in the Banking Sector**. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2184
[40] Okoye, C.C., Nwankwo, E.E., Usman, F.O., Mhlongo, N.Z., Odeyemi, O., & Ike, C.U. (2024). **Securing financial data storage: A review of cybersecurity challenges and solutions**. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0267
[41] Omowole, B.M., Urefe, O., Mokogwu, C., &Ewim, S.E. (2024). **Integrating fintech and innovation in microfinance: Transforming credit accessibility for small businesses**. International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews. https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfrr.2024.3.1.0032
[42] Putrevu, J. &Mertzanis, C. (2024). **The adoption of digital payments in emerging economies: challenges and policy responses**. Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, Vol. 26 No. 5, pp. 476-500. https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-06-2023-0077
[43] Randa, I.O. (2024). **Financial Infrastructure Development for Inclusive Growth**. Infrastructure Development Strategies for Empowerment and Inclusion. DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-2917-7.ch010
[44] Saravanabhavan, S. & Rajeev, M. (2024). **Financial inclusion in India: How far do grassroots institutions matter?**. Journal of International Development. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3897
[45] Shaikh, A.A., Glavee-Geo, R., Karjaluoto, H., & Hinson, R.E. (2023). **Mobile money as a driver of digital financial inclusion**. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122158
[46] Singireddy, S., Adusupalli, B., Pamisetty, A., Mashetty, S., &Kaulwar, P.K. (2024). **Redefining Financial Risk Strategies: The Integration of Smart Automation, Secure Access Systems, and Predictive Intelligence in Insurance, Lending, and Asset Management**. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data Disciplines. https://doi.org/10.70179/k86dcv60
[47] Xie, Z. & Wu, Y. (2024). **Digital finance, financial regulation and transformation of R&D achievements**. Heliyon. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30224
[48] Yousef, F.Z.Y. (2024). **The Impact of E-Wallets on Consumer Spending Behavior and Financial Management**. International Journal for Scientific Research. https://doi.org/10.59992/IJSR.2024.v3n12p12
[49] Zarco, C., Giráldez-Cru, J., Cordón, O., & Liébana-Cabanillas, F. (2024). **A comprehensive view of biometric payment in retailing: A complete study from user to expert**. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103789